arXiv:1205.1987v4 [math.AP] 30 Oct 2012

MORREY POTENTIALS FOR MIXED LAPLACE SYSTEMS

DAVID R. ADAMS AND JIE XIAO

Dedicated to Adriano M. Garsia who surely appreciated a simplified approach

Abstract. We not only study the quasi-continuities of (α, p, λ)-Morrey potentials, but also use them to explore some fine properties of the weak solutions of the (p, q)-type harmonic and Lane-Emden systems, whence discovering that all local singular sets of the minimizing p-harmonic maps from a bounded domain to the unit sphere are discrete.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Background 1
1.2. Overview 3
2. Capacitary Comparisons 3
2.1. Morrey capacity versus Hausdorff content 3
2.2. Variational capacity versus Hausdorff content 4
3. Quasi-continuities of Morrey Potentials 7
3.1. Quasi-continuous representative 7
3.2. Hölder quasi-continuity 9
4. Applications to Mixed Laplace Systems 12
4.1. Harmonic system of (p, q)-type 12
4.2. Lane-Emden system of (p, q)-type 14
References 16

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background.** From now on, Ω stands for a bounded domain in the $2 \le n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. For a local Lebesgue integrable

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 31C15, 35J92, 42B37, 46E35.

JX was in part supported by NSERC of Canada.

function g on Ω let

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{S}(g,\Omega) = \left\{x \in \Omega : \limsup_{r\to 0} \fint_{B(x,r)} \left|g - \fint_{B(x,r)} g\right| > 0\right\}; \\ \mathsf{T}(g,\Omega) = \left\{x \in \Omega : \limsup_{r\to 0} \left|\fint_{B(x,r)} g\right| = \infty\right\}; \\ \Sigma(g,\Omega) = \mathsf{S}(g,\Omega) \cup \mathsf{T}(g,\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where $\fint_E$ denotes the average of a Lebesgue integral over E, $B(x,r)$ is the open ball with center x and radius r. Neededless to say, if $x \in \Omega \setminus \Sigma(g,\Omega)$, then

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \fint_{B(x,r)} \left|g - \fint_{B(x,r)} g\right| = 0,$$

and hence x obeying the last condition is called a mean regular point of g, which however is not necessarily a Lebesgue point of g. Correspondingly, $\Sigma(g,\Omega)$ is called the mean singular set of g. So, in order to estimate the size of the set of discontinuous points of g, we must control $\Sigma(g,\Omega)$. The main idea in [10] (cf. [6, 7, 8, 9]) is to consider $\Sigma(\cdot,\Omega)$ of the so-called (α,p,λ)-Morrey potential (cf. [48] for $(\alpha,p,\lambda) = (1,1,1)$), i.e., the $(0,n) \ni \alpha$-order Riesz singular integral

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y)|y-x|^{\alpha-n}\, dy$$

of a function f (where $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$) in the well-known Morrey space

$$L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) = \left\{ g \in L^p(\Omega) :\ \|g\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} = \sup_{x\in\Omega,\ 0<r<\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)} \left(\frac{\int_{B(x,r)\cap\Omega} |g|^p}{r^{n-\lambda}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

where $1 \le p < \infty$, $0 < \lambda \le n$, $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$ is the diameter of Ω, and the integral is taken with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure dy.

Theorem 3.6 in [10] *says that if* $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ *with* $0 < \lambda < \mu \le n$ *then*

$$\begin{cases} C_\alpha(\Sigma(I_\alpha f,\Omega); L^{p,\mu}(\Omega)) = 0 \\ dim_H(\Sigma(I_\alpha f,\Omega)) \le \lambda - \alpha p \end{cases} \quad \text{if } 1 < p \le \lambda/\alpha. \tag{1.1}$$

Here and henceforth, for $E \subseteq \Omega$ one has:

$$\begin{cases} C_\alpha(E; L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)) = \inf\{\|f\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} :\ 0 \le f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)\ \&\ I_\alpha f \ge 1_E\}; \\ \dim_H(E) = \inf\{d :\ \Lambda_d^{(\infty)}(E) = 0\}, \end{cases}$$

where 1_E stands for the characteristic function of E, and $\Lambda_d^{(\infty)}(E) = \inf \sum_j r_j^d$ is the d-dimensional Hausdorff content in which the infimum is taken over all countable coverings of E by balls $B(\cdot, r_j)$.

1.2. **Overview.** In this paper, through comparing the Morrey/variational capacity and the Hausdorff content (cf. (2.2) & (2.4) derived from (2.1) and Propositions 2.1 & 2.3) we find quasi-continuous representative and Hölder quasi-continuity of each element in the class of the (α, p, λ)-Morrey potentials; see also Theorems 3.2 & 3.4. Surprisingly, those quasi-continuities along with (1.1) can be employed to explore some fine properties of weak solutions of the mixed Laplace systems that are useful and fundamental in the analysis and geometry of PDE:

$$-\Delta_p u = -\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \begin{cases} |\nabla u|^q u \text{ (harmonic system of (p,q)-type);} \\ |u|^q u \text{ (Lane-Emden system of (p,q)-type);} \end{cases}$$

see Theorems 4.1 & 4.3, which especially indicate that if u is a minimizing $(1, n) \ni p$-harmonic map from Ω to the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 2$, then u enjoys the Caccioppoli-Poincaré inequality (cf. [17, 33] & [18, page 142]):

$$(r/2)^p \fint_{B(x,r/2)} |\nabla u|^p \lesssim \fint_{B(x,r)} \left| u - \fint_{B(x,r)} u \right|^p \lesssim r^p \fint_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^p$$

which implies via Hardt-Lin's monotonicity principle for the p-energy minimizing maps (cf. [30])

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(u,\Omega) &= \mathsf{S}(u,\Omega) \\ &= \left\{ x \in \Omega : \limsup_{r\to 0} \fint_{B(x,r)} \left| u - \fint_{B(x,r)} u \right|^p > 0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x \in \Omega : \lim_{r\to 0} r^p \fint_{B(x,r)} |\nabla u|^p > 0 \right\} =: \mathrm{sing}(u,\Omega), \end{aligned}$$

and hence, as long as $\mathrm{sing}(u, \Omega)$ is local (i.e., this singular set is contained in a ball $B \Subset \Omega$), $\mathrm{sing}(u, \Omega)$ is just a set of discrete points; see also Remark 4.2 and [10] for more information.

In the above and below, $\mathsf{X} \lesssim \mathsf{Y}$ stands for $\mathsf{X} \leq c\mathsf{Y}$ for a constant $c > 0$. Moreover, $\mathsf{X} \approx \mathsf{Y}$ means both $\mathsf{X} \lesssim \mathsf{Y}$ and $\mathsf{Y} \lesssim \mathsf{X}$.

2. Capacitary Comparisons

2.1. **Morrey capacity versus Hausdorff content.** A combination of the forthcoming Proposition 2.1 and Adams' [3, Corollary] - saying locally that under $d \in (0, n]$ one has

$$\Lambda_d^{(\infty)}(E) \approx \sup_{\nu} \nu(E), \tag{2.1}$$

where "sup" is taken over all non-negative Borel measures ν on Ω with $\sup_{B(x,r)\subseteq\Omega}\nu(B(x,r))r^{-d}<\infty$, indicates that if

$$\begin{cases}1<p<\lambda/\alpha;\\ \lambda-\alpha p<d\le n;\\ 0<q<dp/(\lambda-\alpha p),\end{cases}$$

then

$$(\Lambda_d^{(\infty)}(E))^{\frac{p}{q}}\lesssim C_\alpha(E;L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega))\quad\forall\quad E\subset\Omega. \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let* $n\ge d>\lambda-\alpha\, p\ge 0$. *Suppose* ν *is a non-negative Borel measure on* Ω *obeying* $\sup_{B(x,r)\subseteq\Omega}\nu(B(x,r))r^{-d}<\infty$.
(i) *If* $1<p<\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}$ *and* $0<\lambda<n$, *then*

$$\begin{cases}\sup_{\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}\le 1}\int_\Omega |I_\alpha f|^q\,d\nu<\infty\quad \textit{for}\quad q<\dfrac{dp}{\lambda-\alpha p};\\ \sup_{\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}\le 1}\int_\Omega \frac{|I_\alpha f|^{\tilde p}}{[\ln(1+|I_\alpha f|)]^\gamma}\,d\nu<\infty\quad \textit{for}\quad \tilde p=\dfrac{dp}{\lambda-\alpha p}\ \&\ \gamma>2.\end{cases}$$

(ii) *If* $1<p=\frac{\lambda}{\alpha}$ *and* $0<\lambda\le n$, *then there exists a constant* $c>0$ *such that*

$$\sup_{\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)}\le 1}\int_\Omega \exp\left(c|I_\alpha f|^q\right)d\nu<\infty\quad \textit{for}\quad \begin{cases}(\lambda,q)\in(0,n)\times(0,1];\\ (\lambda,q)=\left(n,\frac{n}{n-1}\right).\end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from [7, Theorem 3.1]. □

Remark 2.2. *Geometrically speaking, (2.2) gives an isocapacitary estimate for the Morrey capacity and Hausdorff content. But, its endpoint case under* $\lambda=n$, $d=q(n-\alpha p)/p$ *and* $1<p<q<pn/(n-\alpha p)$ *is established through (2.1) and* [5, Theorem 7.2.2].

2.2. **Variational capacity versus Hausdorff content.** Recall that for $1\le p<\infty$ the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ consists of all functions f with

$$\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}=\Big(\int_\Omega|f|^p\Big)^{\frac1p}+\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla f|^p\Big)^{\frac1p}<\infty$$

and the Sobolev space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the completeness of all $C_0^1(\Omega)$ functions f under $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$. The variational p-capacity of $E\subseteq\Omega$ is defined by:

$$C(E;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))=\inf\left\{\int_\Omega|\nabla f|^p:\ f\in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\ \&\ f\ge 1_E\right\}.$$

Note that if $f\in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ then (cf. [26, Lemma 7.14])

$$f(x)=\frac{\Gamma(\frac n2)}{2\pi^{\frac n2}}\int_\Omega|x-y|^{-n}(x-y)\cdot\nabla f(y)\,dy \tag{2.3}$$

holds almost everywhere on Ω, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the usual Gamma function. Thus, at least on a dense subset of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ one may write elements as the 1-Riesz potentials. As a variant of (2.2), we get that if $1 < p < \min\{q, n\}$ then

$$(\Lambda^{(\infty)}_{(n-p)q/p}(E))^{\frac{p}{q}} \lesssim C(E; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \quad \forall \quad E \subset \Omega. \tag{2.4}$$

Although (2.4) can be verified by [5, Theorems 5.1.9 & 5.1.13], we find that (2.4) is a consequence of (2.1) and the following assertion which is of independent interest.

Proposition 2.3. *Given* $1 < p < \min\{n, q\}$ *and* $0 < r < q(1 - \frac{1}{p})$, *let* ν *be a non-negative Borel measure on* Ω. *Then the following properties are mutually equivalent:*
(i) I_1 *is a continuous operator from* $L^p(\Omega)$ *into* $L^q(\Omega, \nu)$*;*
(ii) $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ *continuously embeds into* $L^q(\Omega, \nu)$.
(iii) *Isocapacitary inequality* $\nu(K) \lesssim C(K; W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))^{\frac{q}{p}}$ *holds for all compact sets* $K \subset \Omega$*;*
(iv) *Isocapacitary inequality* $\nu(B(x,r)) \lesssim r^{\frac{q(n-p)}{p}}$ *holds for all* $B(x,r) \subseteq \Omega$*;*
(v) *Faber-Krahn's inequality* $\nu(O)^{\frac{p}{q}-1} \lesssim \lambda_{p,\nu}(O)$ *holds for all bounded open sets* $O \subseteq \Omega$, *where*

$$\lambda_{p,\nu}(O) = \inf\left\{\frac{\int_O |\nabla f|^p}{\int_O |f|^p\, d\nu} : \ f \in C_0^1(O) \ \& \ f \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } O\right\}.$$

Proof. (ii)$\Leftrightarrow$(iii)$\Leftrightarrow$(iv)$\Leftrightarrow$(i) is essentially known – see, for example, [37, 38] and [5, Theorem 7.2.2].

So, it remains to prove (ii)$\Leftrightarrow$(v). If (ii) is valid, then the Hölder inequality yields that for any bounded open set $O \subseteq \Omega$ and $f \in C_0^1(O)$,

$$\int_O |f|^p\, d\nu \le \left(\int_O |f|^q\, d\nu\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \nu(O)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \lesssim \Big(\int_O |\nabla f|^p\Big)\nu(O)^{1-\frac{p}{q}}$$

holds, whence giving (v). For the converse, we use the argument methods in [13, pages 159-161] and [14] to proceed. Suppose (v) is true. Then for any $f \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and any $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega |f|^p\, d\nu &\le \int_{\{y\in\Omega:\ |f(y)|>t\}} |f|^p\, d\nu + t^{p-1}\int_{\{y\in\Omega:\ |f(y)|\le t\}} |f|\, d\nu \\
&\lesssim \frac{\int_{\{y\in\Omega:\ |f(y)|>t\}} |\nabla f|^p}{\nu(\{y\in\Omega:\ |f(y)|>t\})^{\frac{p}{q}-1}} + t^{p-1}\int_{\{y\in\Omega:\ |f(y)|\le t\}} |f|\, d\nu \\
&\lesssim \left(t^{-1}\int_\Omega |f|\, d\nu\right)^{1-\frac{p}{q}} \int_\Omega |\nabla f|^p + t^{p-1}\int_{\{y\in\Omega:\ |f(y)|\le t\}} |f|\, d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing

$$t=\left(\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla f|^p}{\left(\int_\Omega |f|\,d\nu\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}}\right)^{\frac{q}{p(q-1)}},$$

we get a constant $c>0$ such that

$$\int_\Omega |f|^p\,d\nu\le 2c\left(\int_\Omega|\nabla f|^p\right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p(q-1)}}\left(\int_\Omega|f|\,d\nu\right)^{\frac{q-p}{q-1}}.$$

Replacing this f by

$$f_k=\min\{\max\{f-2^k,0\},2^k\},\ k=0,\pm1,\pm2,...,$$

we have

$$\left(\int_\Omega f_k^p\,d\nu\right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q(p-1)}}\le(2c)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q(p-1)}}\left(\int_\Omega|\nabla f_k|^p\right)\left(\int_\Omega f_k\,d\nu\right)^{\frac{p(q-p)}{q(p-1)}}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(2^{kp}\nu(\{y\in\Omega:\ f(y)\ge 2^{k+1}\})\Big)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q(p-1)}}\\ &\le(2c)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q(p-1)}}\left(\int_{\{y\in\Omega:\ 2^k\le f(y)<2^{k+1}\}}|\nabla f|^p\right)\Big(2^k\nu(\{y\in\Omega:\ f(y)\ge 2^k\})\Big)^{\frac{p(q-p)}{q(p-1)}}.\end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\begin{cases} a_k &= 2^{kq}\nu(\{y\in\Omega:\ f(y)\ge 2^k\});\\ b_k &= \int_{\{y\in\Omega:\ 2^k\le f(y)<2^{k+1}\}}|\nabla f|^p;\\ \theta &= \frac{q(p-1)}{p(q-1)},\end{cases}$$

one has $a_{k+1}\le 2^{1+q}cb_k^\theta a_k^{p(1-\theta)}$. This, together with Hölder's inequality, derives

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k a_k &\le 2^{1+q}c\sum_k b_k^\theta a_k^{p(1-\theta)}\\ &\le 2^{1+q}c\Big(\sum_k b_k\Big)^\theta\Big(\sum_k a_k\Big)^{p(1-\theta)}\\ &\le 2^{1+q}c\Big(\int_\Omega|\nabla f(y)|^p\,dy\Big)^\theta\Big(\sum_k a_k\Big)^{p(1-\theta)}.\end{aligned}$$

A simplification of these estimates yields (ii).

□

Remark 2.4. *On the one hand, the part on Faber-Krahn's inequality under* $(p,q,d\nu)=(2,2n/(n-2),dy)$ *of Proposition 2.3 appeared in* [12, 32, 52, 53, 54]. *On the other hand, if* $d\nu=\omega dy$ *and* $1<p<q<pn/(n-p)$, *then condition (iv) above says that* $0\le\omega$ *belongs to the Morrey space*

$L^{1,n-(n-p)q/p}(\Omega)$ – in other words – the Sobolev imbedding under this circumstance is fully controlled by this Morrey space; see [39] *for a similar treatment on the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + \mathcal{V}$.*

3. QUASI-CONTINUITIES OF MORREY POTENTIALS

3.1. **Quasi-continuous representative.** Obviously, any Lebesgue point of g is a mean regular point of g. The classical Lebesgue theorem states that almost every point is a Lebesgue point and any L^1_{loc} function can be defined almost everywhere by limit of their integral averages on balls. Thus, as an extension of [5, Theorem 6.2.1] from L^p to $L^{p,\lambda}$, the following Theorem 3.2 tells us that g being mean regular at x is the same as x being the Lebesgue point of g in the sense of the Morrey capacity quasi-everywhere.

Lemma 3.1. *For $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \gamma \le n$, let $L^{p,\gamma}_0(\Omega)$ be the Zorko space (cf.* [55]*) of all $f \in L^{p,\gamma}(\Omega)$ that can be approximated by $C^1_0(\Omega)$-functions in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p,\gamma}(\Omega)}$. Then $L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \subset L^{p,\gamma}_0(\Omega)$ for any $\lambda \in (0,\gamma)$.*

Proof. See [10, Lemma 3.4]. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $g = I_\alpha f$, $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, and $1 < p < \lambda/\alpha < \mu/\alpha \le n/\alpha$. Then there is a set $\Sigma \subset \Omega$ such that:*
(i) $dim_H(\Sigma) \le \lambda - \alpha p \quad \& \quad C_\alpha(\Sigma; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega)) = 0$;
(ii) $\lim_{r\to 0} \fint_{B(x,r)} g = \tilde{g}(x) \quad \forall \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \Sigma$;
(iii) $\lim_{r\to 0} \fint_{B(x,r)} \left|g - \tilde{g}(x)\right| = 0 \quad \forall \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \Sigma$.
Moreover, one has:
(iv) *The convergence in (ii)-(iii) is uniform outside an open set O of arbitrarily small $C_\alpha(O; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega))$;*
(v) *$\tilde{g}$ is a $C_\alpha(\cdot; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega))$-quasicontinuous representative for g;*
(vi) $\tilde{g}(x) = g(x) \quad \forall \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \Sigma$.

Proof. Given $r \in (0,\infty)$, let

$$\chi(x) = 1_{\mathbb{B}^n}(x)\omega_n{}^{-1} \quad \& \quad \chi_r(x) = r^{-n}\chi(x/r),$$

where ω_n is the volume of the unit ball $\mathbb{B}^n$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in (\lambda, n]$, we use Lemma 3.1 to find a Schwarz function f_0 on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $f_0 = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$ and $\|f - f_0\|_{L^{p,\mu}(\Omega)} < \epsilon$. Consequently, $g_0 = I_\alpha f_0$ is a Schwarz function and $\chi_r * g_0$ converges to g_0 on Ω as $r \to 0$. Note that

$$\fint_{B(x,r)} g = \chi_r * g(x) \quad \& \quad \fint_{B(x,r)} g_0 = \chi_r * g_0(x).$$

Thus, for $\delta > 0$ letting

$$\mathrm{J}_\delta g(x) = \sup_{0<r<\delta} (\chi_r * g)(x) - \inf_{0<r<\delta} (\chi_r * g)(x),$$

we have

$$\mathrm{J}_\delta g(x) \le \mathrm{J}_\delta(g-g_0)(x) + \mathrm{J}_\delta g_0(x).$$

By the previously-stated convergence, for any given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ so small that $\sup_{x\in\Omega} \mathrm{J}_\delta g_0(x) < \epsilon$. If $\mathcal{M}$ stands for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, then

$$|\chi_r * (g-g_0)(x)| \le \mathcal{M}(g-g_0)(x) \quad \forall \quad x\in\Omega,$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{J}_\delta g(x) \le \mathcal{M}(g-g_0)(x) + \epsilon \quad \forall \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Upon choosing $\omega/2 > \epsilon > 0$, the last estimate gives

$$E_\omega := \{x\in\Omega : \mathrm{J}_\delta g(x) > \omega\} \subseteq \{x \in \Omega : \mathrm{J}_\delta(g-g_0)(x) > \omega/2\} =: F_\omega.$$

Using the definition of $C_\alpha(\cdot; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega))$ and the argument for (1.1) (or [10, Theorem 3.6]) we find

$$C_\alpha(E_\delta; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega)) \le C_\alpha(F_\delta; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega)) \lesssim \omega^{-p}\|f-f_0\|^p_{L^{p,\mu}(\Omega)} \lesssim (\epsilon/\omega)^p.$$

For each natural number j let $\omega = 2^{-j}$, $\epsilon = 4^{-j}$, and δ_j be their induced number. If $G_j = \{x\in\Omega : \mathrm{J}_{\delta_j} g(x) > 2^{-j}\}$, then $C_\alpha(G_j; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega)) \lesssim 2^{-jp}$. Furthermore,

$$H_k = \cup_{j=k}^\infty G_j \Rightarrow C_\alpha(H_k; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega)) \lesssim \sum_{j=k}^\infty 2^{-jp} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k\to\infty.$$

This, along with (2.2), yields that

$$\begin{cases} 1 < p < \mu/\alpha; \\ \mu - \alpha p < d \le n; \\ 0 < q < dp/(\mu-\alpha p), \end{cases}$$

ensures

$$(\Lambda_d^{(\infty)}(\cap_{k=1}^\infty H_k))^{\frac{p}{q}} \lesssim C_\alpha(\cap_{k=1}^\infty H_k; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega)) = 0,$$

whence implying $\dim_H(\cap_{k=1}^\infty H_k) \le \lambda - \alpha p$ via letting $\mu \to \lambda$. Note that

$$x \notin H_k \Rightarrow \mathrm{J}_\delta g(x) \le 2^{-j} \quad \forall \quad \delta \le \delta_j \quad \& \quad j \ge k.$$

So, $\lim_{r\to 0}\chi_r * g(x) = \tilde{g}(x)$ exists for $x \notin \cap_{k=1}^\infty H_k$. Clearly, this convergence is uniform outside each open set H_k with sufficiently small $C_\alpha(H_k; L^{p,\mu}(\Omega))$. This proves the results of Theorem 3.2 with $\Sigma = \cap_{k=1}^\infty H_k$ except the part on (iii).

The proof of the part on (iii) follows from a slight modification of the above argument plus defining

$$\mathrm{J}_\delta(g-\tilde{g})(x) = \sup_{0<r\le\delta}(\chi_r * |g - \tilde{g}(x)|)(x)$$

and so establishing

$$\mathrm{J}_\delta(g-\tilde{g})(x) \le M_0(|g-g_0|)(x) + |(\tilde{g}-g_0)(x)| + \epsilon \ \text{ under } \ \mathrm{J}_\delta(g-g_0(x))(x) < \epsilon;$$

see also the remaining part of the argument for (1.1). □

3.2. **Hölder quasi-continuity.** Given $\beta \in (0,1]$. We say that $g \in Lip_\beta(\Omega)$ provided that g satisfies

$$\sup\left\{\frac{|g(x)-g(y)|}{|x-y|^\beta}: \quad x,y\in\Omega,\ x\neq y\right\} < \infty.$$

In particular, if $\beta \in (0,1)$ or $\beta = 1$ then g is called β-Hölder continuous or Lipschitz continuous. Moreover, a function g defined on Ω is called Hölder quasi-continuous if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a set $E \subset \Omega$ of a given capacity smaller than ϵ such that g is of Hölder continuity on $\Omega\setminus E$. The forthcoming Theorem 3.4 shows that any function in $I_\alpha L^{p,\lambda}$ is Hölder quasi-continuous. To be more precise, let us recall the Sobolev-Morrey type imbedding (cf. [1, 2]):

$$I_\alpha : L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega) \mapsto \begin{cases} L^{\frac{\lambda p}{\lambda-\alpha p},\lambda}(\Omega)\cap L^{p,\lambda-\alpha p}(\Omega), & 1<p<\lambda/\alpha;\\ BMO(\Omega), & 1<p=\lambda/\alpha,\end{cases}$$

where

$$f\in BMO(\Omega) \Longleftrightarrow \sup_{x\in\Omega,\ 0<r<\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)} \fint_{B(x,r)\cap\Omega}\left|f-\fint_{B(x,r)\cap\Omega} f\right| < \infty.$$

Interestingly, the above imbedding can be extended from $p \le \lambda/\alpha$ to $p > \lambda/\alpha$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $g = I_\alpha f$, $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, $\lambda \in (0,n]$, *and* $p \in (1,\infty)$.
(i) *If* $\delta = \alpha - \lambda/p \in (0,1)$, *then* $g \in Lip_\delta(\Omega)$.
(ii) *If*

$$\begin{cases} 1<p<\lambda/\alpha;\\ 1<q<\min\{p,\lambda/\alpha\};\\ \mu = n-(n-\lambda)q/p;\\ 0<\beta<\min\left\{1, \alpha(1-q/p), \frac{\lambda(1-q/p)}{\lambda+(1-\alpha)q}\right\},\end{cases}$$

then for any $r \in (0,1)$ *there exist* $f_r \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ *and* $g_r = I_\alpha f_r$ *such that*

$$\begin{cases} \|f-f_r\|_{L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)} \lesssim r^\beta;\\ |g_r(x)-g_r(y)| \lesssim |x-y|^\beta \quad \forall \quad y\in B(x,r)\subseteq\Omega.\end{cases}$$

Proof. (i) An application of [1, Corollary (iii)] and [11, page 91] gives

$$I_\alpha L^{p,\alpha p}(\Omega)\subset BMO(\Omega) \quad \& \quad I_\delta BMO(\Omega)\subset Lip_\delta(\Omega),$$

whence implying $g \in Lip_\delta(\Omega)$.

(ii) Without loss of generality, we may assume $\|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq 1$. Since Ω is bounded, its diameter $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$ is finite, and consequently,

$$\|f\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)} = \int_\Omega |f|^p \leq (\mathrm{diam}(\Omega))^{n-\lambda}.$$

For $r \in (0,1)$ let $O_r = \{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > s_r\}$, $s_r = r^{\beta q/(q-p)}$, and

$$f_r = \begin{cases} f & \text{on} \quad \Omega \setminus O_r; \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad O_r. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\int_{O_r} 1_{O_r} \leq s_r^{-p}(\mathrm{diam}(\Omega))^{n-\lambda}$ and $g_r = I_\alpha f_r$ is bounded. Moreover, by Hölder's inequality and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_r\|^q_{L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)} &\leq \|f\|^q_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \left(\int_{O_r} 1_{O_r} \right)^{\frac{\mu-\lambda}{n-\lambda}} \\ &\leq (\mathrm{diam}(\Omega))^{\mu-\lambda} s_r^{\frac{p(\lambda-\mu)}{n-\lambda}} \\ &\leq (\mathrm{diam}(\Omega))^{(n-\lambda)(1-q/p)} r^{q\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, thanks to $f_r \leq s_r$, we can use (i) above to get that if

$$p < \bar{p} = \frac{\lambda(p-q) - \beta pq}{\alpha(p-q) - \beta p} \quad \& \quad 0 < \bar{\beta} = \alpha - \lambda/\bar{p} < 1,$$

then

$$|g_r(x) - g_r(y)| = |I_\alpha f_r(x) - I_\alpha f_r(y)| \lesssim \|f_r\|_{L^{\bar{p},\lambda}(\Omega)} |x-y|^{\bar{\beta}} \quad \forall \quad y \in B(x,r).$$

Another application of the Hölder inequality gives

$$\|f_r\|^{\bar{p}}_{L^{\bar{p},\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq s_r^{\bar{p}-p} \|f\|^p_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} \leq s_r^{\bar{p}-p}.$$

Thus, $|g_r(x) - g_r(y)| \lesssim r^\beta$ holds for any $y \in B(x,r)$. □

Below is the Hölder quasi-continuity for the Morrey potentials which actually gives a nontrivial generalization of [36, Theorem 7].

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $g = I_\alpha f$, $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$ *and* $1 < p < \lambda/\alpha \leq n/\alpha$. *If*

$$\begin{cases} 1 < q < \min\{p, \lambda/\alpha\} = p; \\ \mu = n - (n-\lambda)q/p; \\ 0 < \gamma < \min\left\{1, \alpha(1-q/p), \frac{\lambda(1-q/p)}{\lambda+(1-\alpha)q}\right\}, \end{cases}$$

then for any $\epsilon > 0$ *there exists an open set* O *and a* γ*-Hölder continuous function* h *such that*

$$\begin{cases} \Sigma(g,\Omega) \subseteq O \subset \Omega; \\ C_\alpha(O; L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)) < \epsilon; \\ \quad g = h \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \setminus O. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The notations introduced in Lemma 3.3 and its proof will be used in what follows. Given $\gamma \in (0, \beta)$ with β as in Lemma 3.3. Now, for each natural number j let r_j be chosen so that

$$r_0 = 1 \quad \& \quad \left(r_{j+1}/r_j\right)^{\gamma} \le 1/2. \tag{3.5}$$

For simplicity, set $h_j = g_{r_j}$ and then f_j be the corresponding f_{r_j} and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_{j+1} - f_j\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)} < \infty.$$

Choosing

$$\begin{cases} w_j = \max\{-r_j^{\gamma}, \min\{r_j^{\gamma}, h_{j+1} - h_j\}\}; \\ O_j = \{x \in \Omega : |h_{j+1}(x) - h_j(x)| > r_j^{\gamma}\}, \end{cases}$$

we use the already-established estimate

$$\|f - f_r\|_{L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)} \le (\mathrm{diam}(\Omega))^{(n-\lambda)(1/q-1/p)} r^{\beta}$$

and the definition of $C_\alpha(\cdot; L^{q,\mu}(\Omega))$ to obtain

$$C_\alpha(O_j; L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)) \le r_j^{-\gamma q} \|f_{j+1} - f_j\|^q_{L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)} \lesssim r_j^{(\beta-\gamma)q},$$

Consequently, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a big integer J such that

$$\sum_{j=J}^{\infty} C_\alpha(O_j; L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)) \lesssim \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} r_j^{q(\beta-\gamma)} < \epsilon.$$

Putting $O = \cup_{j=J}^{\infty} E_j$ and $h = h_J + \sum_{j=J}^{\infty} w_j$, we find that O is an open subset of Ω and

$$C_\alpha(O; L^{q,\mu}(\Omega)) < \epsilon \quad \& \quad h = g \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega \setminus O.$$

It remains to check that h is β-Hölder continuous. Of course, it is enough to verify

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \lesssim |x - y|^{\beta} \quad \forall \quad x, y \in \Omega \quad \text{with} \quad |x - y| \le r_J. \tag{3.6}$$

Obviously, h_J is β-Hölder continuous. To show the similar property for $\sum_{j=J}^{\infty} w_j$, we may assume

$$x, y \in \Omega; \quad 0 < |x - y| \le r_J; \quad r_{k+1} < |x - y| \le r_k.$$

From (3.5) it follows that

$$k \le \left(\frac{\gamma}{\ln 2}\right) \ln \frac{1}{r_k} \le \left(\frac{\gamma}{(\beta - \gamma)\ln 2}\right) r_k^{\gamma-\beta} \le \left(\frac{\gamma}{(\beta - \gamma)\ln 2}\right) |x - y|^{\gamma-\beta} \tag{3.7}$$

When $1 \le j \le k$, an application of the last estimate in Lemma 3.3 gives $|w_j(x) - w_j(y)| \lesssim |x - y|^{\beta}$. When $j > k$, another application of (3.5) yields

$$|w_j(x) - w_j(y)| \le 2r_j^{\gamma} \le 2^{k-j+2} r_{k+1}^{\gamma} \le 2^{k-j+2} |x - y|^{\gamma}.$$

This, together with (3.7), derives

$$|h(x) - h(y)| \lesssim |x - y|^{\gamma} + k|x - y|^{\beta} \lesssim |x - y|^{\gamma}.$$

Since $g = h$ in $\Omega \setminus O$ is continuous, one concludes that g is mean regular over there and consequently $\Sigma(g, \Omega) \subseteq O$. ◻

4. Applications to Mixed Laplace Systems

4.1. **Harmonic system of (p, q)-type.** Consider the harmonic map of (p, q)-type from Ω to $\mathbb{R}^m$:

$$-\Delta_p u = |\nabla u|^q u \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \tag{4.1}$$

in the weak sense with respect to the Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ – the vector-valued version of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Like the linear case $p = 2$ (cf. [35, 50]), there has been a lot of research on the regularity/singularity of p-harmonic maps (i.e., $p = q$) carried out in the past years; see for example [28, 29, 30, 31, 42, 41, 17, 16, 20, 21, 27, 33, 22, 43, 19, 40, 47, 15, 34] and references therein. Our contribution to this direction is:

Theorem 4.1. *Given $1 < p, q \le n$, let $u : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ be a weak solution of (4.1) in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{1,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$.*
(i) *If $p \neq q$, then u is of $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$;*
(ii) *If $p = q$, u is also a minimizer of the p-energy $p^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^p$, and $\Sigma(u, \Omega)$ is contained in a ball $B \Subset \Omega$, then $\dim_H(\Sigma(u, \Omega)) = 0$ and hence $\Sigma(u, \Omega)$ comprises at most isolated points.*

Proof. For each $k = 1, ..., m$ suppose u_k is a coordinate of the weak solution $u : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ of (4.1). Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q u_k \phi = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla \phi \quad \forall \quad \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega). \tag{4.2}$$

(i) Note that

$$|u| = 1 \Longrightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{m} u_k \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} = 0 \quad \forall \quad j = 1, ..., n.$$

So, if $\phi = \eta^2 u_k$ in (4.2) with $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q \eta^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \eta^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Given $B(x_0, r) \subset \Omega$. Let $0 \le \eta \in C_0^{\infty}(B(x_0, r))$ be such that

$$\begin{cases} \eta(x) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in B(x_0, r/3); \\ \eta(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in B(x_0, r) \setminus B(x_0, r/2); \\ |\nabla \eta(x)| \lesssim r^{-1} \quad \text{for} \quad x \in B(x_0, r). \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Since $q \neq p$, Hölder's inequality, (4.3) and (4.4) are employed to imply

$$\text{either} \quad \fint_{B(x_0,r/3)} |\nabla u|^p \eta^2 \lesssim 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \fint_{B(x_0,r/3)} |\nabla u|^q \eta^2 \lesssim 1,$$

and so $|\nabla u| \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Furthermore, note that (4.3) and $p \neq q$ actually imply $|\nabla u| = 1$. With this and $|u| = 1$, (4.1) reduces to $-\Delta u = u$ in Ω. Since Ω is bounded, each component u_k of u is in $C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. This in turns gives $\Delta u_k \in C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)$, and consequently $u_k \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$. Continuing this process yields the desired C^∞-smoothness.

(ii) Note that u minimizes the p-energy $p^{-1} \int_\Omega |\nabla U|^p$. So, an application of Hardt-Lin's monotonicity principle in [30] gives that

$$r \mapsto \Psi_p(u, x, r) := r^{p-n} \int_{B(x,r)\subseteq\Omega} |\nabla u|^p$$

is non-decreasing in r. Thus, $|\nabla u| \in L^{p,p}(B)$ for any ball $B \Subset \Omega$. According to (1.1) with $\alpha = 1$ and $\lambda = p$, we have that if u_k is any coordinate of u and

$$g_k(x) = \int_B |x - y|^{-n}(x - y) \cdot \nabla u_k(y)$$

then

$$\dim_H(\Sigma(g_k, B)) \leq \lambda - \alpha p = 0$$

and hence

$$\dim_H(\Sigma(u, \Omega)) \leq \dim_H(\Sigma(u, B)) = 0$$

thanks to (2.3) for u_k.

To see that $\Sigma(u, \Omega)$ comprises at most isolated points, suppose that B is centered at x_0 with radius r_0. Consulting [24, 23] we may assume that u has a sequence of singular points $\{x_j\} \subset B$ with $x_j \to x_0$ and $r_j = 2|x_j - x_0| < r_0$. Now, each map $v_j(x) = u(r_j(x - x_0)) : \mathbb{B}^n \mapsto \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$ is a minimizing p-harmonic map, but also has a singular point y_j with $|y_j| = r_0/2$. This fact plus the argument for [30, Theorem 6.4] produces a minimizing p-harmonic map $v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{B}^n, \mathbb{S}^{m-1})$ and a limit point $y_0 \in \mathbb{B}^n$ of $\{y_j\}$ such that y_0 (where $|y_0| = r_0/2$) is a singular point of v. According to [30, Lemma 4.1], we have that if $0 < a < b < 1$ and dS stands for the $n - 1$ dimensional Hausdorff measure then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lim_{j\to\infty}\Big(\Psi_p(v_j,0,br_j)-\Psi_p(v_j,0,ar_j)\Big)\\
&= \lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{ar_j}^{br_j}\frac{d}{dr}\Psi_p(v_j,0,r)\,dr\\
&= p\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{ar_j}^{br_j} r^{p-n}\left(\int_{\partial B(0,rr_j)}|\nabla v_j|^{p-2}\Big(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial r}\Big)^2\,dS\right)dr\\
&= p\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{a}^{b} r^{p-n}\left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)}|\nabla v_j|^{p-2}\Big(\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial r}\Big)^2\,dS\right)dr\\
&= p\int_{a}^{b} r^{p-n}\left(\int_{\partial B(0,r)}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\Big(\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}\Big)^2\,dS\right)dr\\
&= \Psi_p(v,0,b)-\Psi_p(v,0,a),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\partial v/\partial r = 0$ almost everywhere in $B(0,b)\setminus B(0,a)$ thanks to [30, Corollary 4.2]. Consequently, the function $r\mapsto v(r(x-x_0))$ does not depend on $r\in(a,b)$ for any given $x\in\mathbb{B}^n$. This actually reveals that the one-dimensional segment between y_0 and 0 is a part of $\Sigma(v,\mathbb{B}^n)$, and so $\dim_H(\Sigma(v,\mathbb{B}^n))\geq 1$ – however, one has $\dim_H(\Sigma(v,\mathbb{B}^n)) = 0$ owing to (1.1) and (2.3). Therefore, $\Sigma(u,\Omega)$ is at most a set of isolated points. □

Remark 4.2. *Two comments are in order:*
(i) *Under $q\neq p$, the standard map $u(x) = x/|x|$ is not a solution to (4.1). In fact, such a system (4.1) has many solutions – for example, if $m = 2l$ is even, then we have the following solution:*

$$u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{l}}\left(\cos\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{\sqrt{n}}\Big),\sin\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{\sqrt{n}}\Big),\cdots,\cos\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{\sqrt{n}}\Big),\sin\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{\sqrt{n}}\Big)\right).$$

And, if $m = 2l+1$ is odd, then we have the following solution:

$$u=\frac{1}{\sqrt{l}}\left(\cos\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{\sqrt{n}}\Big),\sin\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{\sqrt{n}}\Big),\cdots,\cos\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{\sqrt{n}}\Big),\sin\Big(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k}{\sqrt{n}}\Big),0\right).$$

(ii) *It is interesting to compare Theorem 4.1 (ii) with Hardt-Lin-Wang's* [31, Theorem A] *which says that if Ω is a Lipschitz domain, $g:\partial\Omega\mapsto\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is a Lipschitz map of degree d, and for each $p\in[n-1,n)$, $u_p:\Omega\mapsto\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is a p-energy minimizer with $u_p|_{\partial\Omega}=g$, then the singular set* $\operatorname{sing} u_p$ *contains exact $|d|$ points in Ω provided that p is sufficiently close to n.*

4.2. **Lane-Emden system of (p,q)-type.** Partially motivated by the research of the so-called Lane-Emden equations $-\Delta u = \pm u^\kappa$ with $\kappa>1$ and $u\geq 0$, say, in [44, 49, 56, 46, 25], we consider the Lane-Emden system of (p,q)-type:

$$-\Delta_p u = |u|^q u \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{4.5}$$

and get the following assertion.

Theorem 4.3. *Let*

$$\begin{cases} n \geq 3; \\ 1 < p < \min\{n, q+2\} < \tilde{q}; \\ p \leq \lambda = \max\left\{\frac{n(q+2)}{\tilde{q}}, p(1+\frac{n}{\tilde{q}}) - 1\right\}. \end{cases}$$

If $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ *solves (4.5) weakly, then* $dim_H(\Sigma(u,\Omega)) \leq \lambda - p$*. Moreover, for any* $\epsilon > 0$ *there is an open set* $O \supseteq \Sigma(u,\Omega)$ *such that* $C_1(O; L^{\hat{q},\hat{\mu}}(\Omega)) < \epsilon$ *and* u *is* $\hat{\gamma}$*-Hölder's continuous in* $\Omega \setminus O$ *where*

$$\begin{cases} 1 < \hat{q} < p; \\ p \leq \lambda < \hat{\mu} = n - (n-\lambda)\hat{q}/p; \\ 0 < \hat{\gamma} < \min\{1, 1 - \hat{q}/p\} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a weak solution of (4.5). Then if $u = (u_1, ..., u_m)$ then

$$\int_\Omega |u|^q u_k \phi = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla \phi \quad \forall \quad \phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega). \tag{4.6}$$

Choosing $\phi = u_k \eta^2$ with η in (4.6), we get

$$\int_\Omega |u|^q u_k^2 \eta^2 = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\nabla u_k|^2 \eta^2 + 2^{-1} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} (\nabla (u_k)^2) \cdot (\nabla(\eta^2)).$$

Through the properties of η, the Young inequality

$$ab \leq \frac{\epsilon a^\theta}{\theta} + \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} b^{\theta'}}{\theta'} \quad \forall \quad a,\ b,\ \epsilon > 0,\ \theta > 1,\ \theta' = \frac{\theta}{\theta - 1},$$

(applied to the last integral), and Hölder's inequality, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(x_0,r/3)} |\nabla u|^p &\lesssim \int_{B(x_0,r/3)} |u|^{2+q} + r^{-p} \int_{B(x_0,r/3)} |u|^p \\ &\lesssim \Big(\int_{B(x_0,r/3)} |u|^{\tilde{q}} \Big)^{\frac{2+q}{\tilde{q}}} r^{n(1-\frac{2+q}{\tilde{q}})} + \Big(\int_{B(x_0,r/3)} |u|^{\tilde{q}} \Big)^{\frac{p}{\tilde{q}}} r^{n(1-\frac{p}{\tilde{q}})-p} \\ &\lesssim \Big(\|u\|^{q+2}_{L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega)} + \|u\|^p_{L^{\tilde{q}}(\Omega)} \Big) r^{n-\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

where the boundedness of Ω has been used. Therefore, $|\nabla u| \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$. This and (1.1) yield $\dim_H(\Sigma(u_k, \Omega)) \leq \lambda - \alpha p = \lambda - p$, whence implying the desired result via (2.3). Clearly, the remaining part is a consequence of $|\nabla u| \in L^{p,\lambda}(\Omega)$, (2.3) and Theorem 3.4. □

Remark 4.4. *Two comments on the situation that* $p = 2$, $n \geq 3$, $m = 1$, *and* u *is a non-negative weak solution of (4.5) are in order:*

(i) *According to Pacard's* [44, Theorem 2] *one has*

$$|\nabla u| \in L^{r,\gamma}(\Omega) \quad \textit{for} \quad 1 < r < \frac{2(1+q)}{2+q} \quad \& \quad \frac{r(2+q)}{q} \le \gamma \le n.$$

Moreover, (1.1) and Theorem 3.4 can be used to deduce $\dim_H(\Sigma(u,\Omega)) \le \gamma - r$ *and that for any* $\epsilon > 0$ *there is an open set* $O \supseteq \Sigma(u,\Omega)$ *such that* $C_1(O; L^{\hat{q},\hat{\mu}}(\Omega)) < \epsilon$ *and* u *is* $\hat{\gamma}$*-Hölder continuous in* $\Omega \setminus O$*, where*

$$\begin{cases} 1 < \hat{q} < r; \\ p \le \gamma < \hat{\mu} = n - (n-\gamma)\hat{q}/r; \\ 0 < \hat{\gamma} < \min\{1, 1 - \hat{q}/r\}. \end{cases}$$

Nevertheless, this result does not violate Proposition 4.3.
(ii) [4, Theorem 1], *due to the first author of this paper, says that if* $\alpha \ge n/(n-2)$ *then there is an open set* $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ *such that* $u \in C^\infty(\Omega')$ *and* $C_2(\Omega \setminus \Omega'; L^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}(\Omega)) = 0$ *and hence* $\dim_H(\Omega \setminus \Omega') \le n - 2\alpha/(\alpha-1)$ *(cf. Remark 2.2), which solves the Pacard regularity problem for* $-\Delta u = u^\alpha$ *with* $\alpha > 1$ *in* [45].

REFERENCES

[1] D. R. Adams, *A note on Riesz potentials*, Duke Math. J. 42(1975)765-778.
[2] D. R. Adams, *Lectures on L^p-Potential Theory*, Volume 2, Department of Mathematics, University of Umeå, 1981.
[3] D. R. Adams, *A note on Choquet integral with respect to Hausdorff capacity*, in "Function Spaces and Applications," Lund 1986. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1302, Springer-Verlag, 1988, pp. 115-124.
[4] D. R. Adams, *On F. Pacard's regularity for $-\Delta u = u^p$*, submitted.
[5] D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.
[6] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, *Nonlinear analysis on Morrey spaces and their capacities*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53(2004)1629-1663.
[7] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, *Morrey potentials and harmonic maps*, Comm. Math. Phys. 308 (2011)439-456.
[8] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, *Morrey spaces in harmonic analysis*, Ark. Mat. 50(2012)201-230
[9] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, *Regularity of Morrey commutators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364(2012)4801-4818.
[10] D. R. Adams and J. Xiao, *Singularities of nonlinear elliptic systems*, arXiv:1205.1985v2[math.AP]8Oct2012.
[11] L. Carleson, *Selected Problems in Exceptional Sets*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1967.
[12] G. Carron, *Inégalités isopérimétriques de Faber-Krahn et conséquences*, Publications de l'Institut Fourier, 220, 1992.
[13] I. Chavel, *Isoperimetric Inequalities*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
[14] T. Coulhon, *Espaces de Lipschitz et inégalités de Poincáre*, J. Funct. Anal. 136(1996)81-113.

[15] E. DiBenedetto, *$C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 7(1983)827-850.

[16] G. Dolzmann, N. Hungerbühler and S. Müller, *The p-harmonic system with measure-valued right hand side*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 14:3(1997)353-364.

[17] F. Duzzar and G. Mingione, *The p-harmonic approximation and the regularity of p-harmonic maps*, Cal. Var., 20(2004)235-256.

[18] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure Theory and Fine Properties of Functions*, CRC Press, 1992.

[19] A. Fardoun, *On equivalent p-harmonic maps*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré 15:1(1998)25-72.

[20] N. B. Firoozye, *n-Laplacian in $\mathcal{H}^1_{loc}$ does not lead to regularity*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 123(1995)3357-3360.

[21] M. Flucher, *Variational Problems with Concentration*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Vol. 36, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1999.

[22] A. Gastel, J. F. Grotowki and M. Kronz, *Removalbe singularities for p-harmonic maps: the subquadratic case*, Adv. Geom. 5(2005)469-483.

[23] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Ann. Math. Studies 105, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1983.

[24] M. Giaquinta and E. Giusti, *The singular set of the minima of certain quadratic functionals*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa 11:1(1984)45-55.

[25] B. Gidas and J. Spruck, *Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. XXXIV(1981)525-598.

[26] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2001.

[27] M. Grüter, *Conformally invariant variational integrals and the removability of isolated singularities*, Manuscripta Math. 47(1984)85-104.

[28] R. Hardt, *Singularities in some geometric variational problems*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley, California, USA, 1986, pp. 540-550.

[29] R. Hardt, *Singularities of harmonic maps*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 34(1997)15-34.

[30] R. Hardt and F. Lin, *Mappings minimizing the L^p norm of the gradient*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 40(1987)555-588.

[31] R. Hardt, F. Lin and C. Wang, *Singularities of p-energy minimizing maps*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. Vol. L(1997)0399-0447.

[32] E. Hebey, *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds: Sobolev Spaces and Inequalities*, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, 5, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[33] M.-C. Hong, *Partial regularity of stable p-harmonic maps into spheres*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 76(2007)297-305.

[34] J. Lewis, *Regularity of the derivatives of solutions to certain degenerate elliptic equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 32(1983)849-858.

[35] F. Lin and C. Wang, *The Analysis of Harmonic Maps and Their Heat Flows*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.

[36] J. Malý, *Hölder type quasicontinuity*, Potential Anal. 2(1993)249-254.

[37] V. G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1985.

[38] V. G. Maz'ya, *Lectures on isoperimetric and isocapacitary inequalities in the theory of Sobolev spaces*, Contemp. Math. 338(2003)307-340.

[39] V. G. Maz'ya and I. E. Verbitsky, *Infinitesimal form boundedness and Trudinger's subordination for the Schrödinger operator*, Invent. Math. 162(2005)81-136.

[40] R. Moser, *The inverse mean curvature flow and p-harmonic functions*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 9(2007)77-83.

[41] L. Mou, *Removability of singular sets of harmonic maps*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 127(1994)199-217.

[42] L. Mou and P. Yang, *Regularity for n-harmonic maps*, J. Geom. Anal. 6(1996)91-112.

[43] N. Nakauchi, *Regularity of minimizing p-harmonic maps into the sphere*, Nonlinear Anal. 47(2001)1051-1057.

[44] F. Pacard, *A note on the regularity of weak solutions of* $-\Delta u = u^{\alpha}$, $n \geq 3$, Houston J. Math. 18(1992)621-632.

[45] F. Pacard, *A regularity criterion for positive weak solutions of* $-\Delta u = u^{\alpha}$, Comment. Math. Helv. 68(1993)73-84.

[46] A. Porretta and L. Véron, *Separable solutions of quasilinear Lane-Emden equations*, arXiv:1104.0479v3 [math.AP] 26Oct2011.

[47] T. Sato, T. Suzuki and F. Takahashi, *Vanishing p-capacity of singular sets for p-harmonic functions*, Electronic J. Diff. Equ. 2011:67(2011)1-15.

[48] J. Serrin, *A remark on the Morrey potential*, Contemp. Math. 426(2007)307-315.

[49] J. Serrin and H. H. Zou, *Cauchy-Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities*, Acta Math. 189(2002)79-142.

[50] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *Lectures on Harmonic Maps*, Conference Proc. & Lecture Notes in Geometry & Topology, Vol. II, International Press, Inc. 1997.

[51] P. Tolksdorf, *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Diff. Equ. 51(1984)126-150.

[52] J. Xiao, *The p-Faber-Krahn inequality noted*, In: Around the Research of Vladimir Maz'ya I. Function Spaces, pp. 373-390, Springer, 2010.

[53] J. Xiao, L^p*-Green potential estimates on noncompact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Math. Phys. 51, 063515(2010)1-17.

[54] J. Xiao, *Isoperimetry for semilinear torsion problems in Riemannian two-manifolds*, Adv. Math. 229(2012)2379-2404.

[55] C. T. Zorko, *Morrey spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 98(1986)586-592.

[56] H. H. Zou, *A priori estimates and existence for quasi-linear elliptic equations*, Calc. Var. 33(2008)417-437.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0027
E-mail address: `dave@ms.uky.edu`

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada
E-mail address: `jxiao@mun.ca`